Α Α	В	С	· "D	E			
4-4							
Crossover Youth Discussions in Kansas							
			garan kalendari da kabanasa kalendari da kabanasa ka	rangan dan kacamatan dan k Kacamatan dan kacamatan da			
Name	Authorizing katity	Purpose	Objectives .	Results			
	II I	$ au_{i} = 1$					
				•			
			· .	•			
' '		t		Senate Bill 25 specifically states: " study the impact of 2016 Senate Bill 1			
		1	· ·	367 on "crossover youth," specifically youth at risk of being placed in foste care due in whole or in part to conduct that has resulted or could result in			
				juvenile offender allegations, and youth placed in foster care engaging in			
		A legislative directive to the agency to	•	conduct that has resulted or could result in juvenile offender allegations. Se Bill 25 specifically states: "study the impact of 2016 Senate Bill No. 367			
		convene a working group to gather data	1. Defining characteristics or risk factors of crossover youth;	"crossover youth," specifically youth at risk of being placed in foster care of			
Fiscal Year 2019 DCF budget proviso	The House Substitute for Senate Bill (SB) 25	and issue a report on the related impact of SB 367 about youth with offender	2. Evaluating services offered to crossover youth, and;	whole or in part to conduct that has resulted or could result in juvenile offe allegations, and youth placed in foster care engaging in conduct that has re-			
ondger proviso	Schale Din (SD) 23	behaviors entering or already in the child	3.Identifying additional services needed for crossover youth.	or could result in juvenile offender allegations.			
		welfare system.		Crossover Youth Services Working Group Report (issued on June 30th,			
				2019)C:\Users\DCFSDupree\Documents\CrossoverYouthServicesWorking			
	·	! .		pReport 2019.pdf			
		:		Crossover Youth defined in the Crossover Youth Working Group Report a			
) \(\frac{1}{2}\)	•	following: Youth with offender behaviors entering or already in the child welfare system			
		:		*Additionally, characteristics or risk factors were outlined regarding crosses			
		*	and the second control of the second control	youth.			
			1. Studying the impact of SB 367 on crossover youth, specifically youth at-				
			risk of being placed or currently placed in foster care due in whole or in part				
		The working group will build upon the	to engaging in conduct that has resulted or could result in juvenile offender allegations; and				
		work completed by FY 2019 working group and conduct a comprehensive data	2. Youth placed in foster care engaging in conduct that has resulted or				
Fiscal Year 2020 DCF	The House Substitute for	analysis across systems to understand	could result in juvenile offender allegations: 3. Provided, that the department shall study the following topics; numbers	The meeting was held on Friday, August 16, 2019 from 10am-3:			
Budget proviso	Senate Bill (SB) 25	with precision who crossover youth are. Additionally, they will determine the	and demographics of crossover youth compared to the broader juvenile	http://www.kslegislature.org/li/b2019_20/measures/documents/sb25_enro			
	, ,	nature and outcomes of the programs and	offender population; Types and nature of calls to law enforcement related to crossover youth compared to the broader juvenile offender population;				
		services offered to this population to identify needed services.	numbers and nature of alleged offender behaviors of crossover youth taken				
			into custody by law enforcement pursuant to K.S.A. 38-2330(d)(1), and amendments thereto; see document for a complete list of purpose.				
		•					
	<u> </u>						
			·				
				•			

(

5		Private Research Institution	(CJJR) at Georgetown University's McCourt School of Public Policy developed the Crossover Youth Practice Model (CYPM or Model) to improve	1. The CYPM explains both why and how youth who have suffered abuse or neglect more frequently engage in delinquent behavior, and; 2. Offers ways to interrupt this phenomenon. 3. It also provides methods to better address the needs of youth known to both the child welfare and juvenile justice systems as a result of this maltreatment and; delinquent behavior. 4. The CYPM encourages specific policies and practices to advance a research-based approach for child welfare, juvenile justice, and related agencies and partners to support the needs of crossover youth and their transitions to adulthood.	Crossover Youth defined as: The term "crossover youth" refers to system- involved youth who have experienced some form of abuse or neglect and who engage in delinquent behaviors regardless of the depth of their involvement in these systems
		*	The same of the sa	"To ensure timely recognition, prevention and intervention so youth and	The state of the s
1			•	their caregivers can thrive."	
1					
1				•To improve the system and service level responses for the target population	
1	14 to			through earlier identification, intervention and service delivery.	•
				To prevent entry by the target population into the juvenile justice system or	•
1				to insure minimal penetration into the system.	
1			Our Cross-System Collaboration started	to his and himself partect aport and also by storic.	
1		Convened by: Johnson	with bringing together a comprehensive	•To improve the collaboration, coordination, communication, and	Crossover youth is not defined. However, they did identify the characteristics of youth that are difficult/challenging to find placements for and/or difficult to keep
l		County Mental Health	group of stakeholders from six Johnson County "systems" that touch the target	cooperation between and accommodately among an tile systems touched by	in the home (that is parents not abandoning them).
l	Johnson County Juvenile	Funded by: Reach	population with the goal of identifying	the target population in order to improve the system and service level response. Improvements will include but not be limited to minimizing gaps	in the nome (that is parting not abundoning moin).
l		Healthcare Foundation	current resources, gaps, and	in the system as well as easing transitions between systems.	I have attached the Exec Summary of Summit Action Team #1: Increase Quality
1		Facilitated by: Team	opportunities for improvement in the	in the system as their as easing american contract systems.	Placements. It is still in draft form with a few pieces of information highlighted
		Tech	current systems toward the common aim or purpose;	•To connect the target population to effective care and treatment.	that is missing but I have permission to share. The final report will be ready about mid-September to be presented at the 10/1 Summit.
				Target Population is defined as youth ages 3 to their 18th birthday with	
				mental illness, co-occurring disorders or behavioral challenges as well as	
1				their parents/caregivers.	
1					
1	1,				
6					
7	Cross-Systems State Agency	Informal work group which consists of DCF, DOC-JS, KDHE, and KDADS	Examining the crossover youth related to placement stability challenges and SB 179 JCIC implementation	Scope included resolving the JCIC RFP lead agency and draft content for scope of work and identifiers plans for building capacity of alternatives for placement such as mobile crisis response, day treatment, etc.	The group had met monthly for several months in calendar year 2018 then paused during the legislative session and reconstituted approximately March 2019

	. А	В	C	D	E
	Crossia	Youth Discussion	one in Kongas		'
1	Crossover	Youth Discussion	JIIS III Nalisas		
2	Natina	Authorizing Entity	Purpose 1	Objectives	Results
	Audit Proposal	Legislative Post Audit	Legislators have expressed concerns about whether community-based services have been put in place as intended and whether the reforms can be deemed successful or not.	Objective 1: Has Kansas increased its community-based services for juveniles since the passage of Senate Bill 367? Objective 2: Do juvenile court judges and other stakeholders think Senate Bill 367 reforms on the juvenile justice system have been effective? Objective 3: Does Kansas have a process to determine if the reforms included in Senate Bill 367 were successful or not?	ESTIMATED RESOURCES Estimated this audit would require a team of 3 auditors for a total of 5 months (from the time the audit starts to our best estimate of when it would be ready for the committee).
	Collaboration to Increase Placements of hard to place youth	The group began with DOC, DCF, SFM and KVC. It has evolved to meet about every 6 weeks and includes Douglas County and JoCO Community Corrections and a representative from OJA was on the phone last time.	In late April 2019, foster care contractors requested that DOC-JS and DCF meet regarding crossover youth to identify immediate resources or collaborations to Strengthen practice with older youth	Prevent youth in offices and support CINC presenting with behaviors defined as or at risk of juvenile offense.	This group's discussion about access to relevant evidenced based services for youth — in part— is what inspired: a.DCF to write the letter to JJOC with requests b.Secure the (October 14-15th) Cognitive Interaction Skill training for KVC and SFM with Jennifer Christie from DOC consultant CJI c.Seek a way for DCF Regions to immediately access DOC contract for Family Functional Therapy in our FINA or CPS familiés d.Seek out other innovations such as use DG county for X number of secure facility placement beds with infusion of KVC therapeutic services e.Use/approval of the JRF Emergency Shelter in Wichita for youth with aggressive or assaultive behaviors
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Augiconicis sylvenine que constitue en es est esceri			
	٠			•	
				•	·